

FAX TO Mr. Thong H Vu 703-872-9306
from Ifay Chang
subject Patent Application 09/634,139

Dear Sir:

This is the third time to submit
the response. The records of previous
submission and letters are attached as
well.

What are included as follows:

1. Your letter dated Mar 28/2005 2 pages
2. My records of communications and mailing 7 pages
3. Specifications P.1-P.20 1 cover + 20 pages
4. Revised claims P.21-30 ^{claims} 21-40 10 pages
5. New Abstract replace 8/2 (P.31) 1 page

Including cover letter 1 page total 42 pages

C.I



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/634,139	08/08/2000	Ifay F. Chang	20676-000100US	4275

7590 03/29/2005

IPO2U.COM
ATTN: Dr. Ifay Chang
P.O. Box 944
Yorktown Heights, NY 70598

EXAMINER

VU, THONG H

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2142

DATE MAILED: 03/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

703-872-9306 (F)

C/O

Vu /

Notice of Abandonment

Application No.

09/634,139

Examiner

Thong H Vu

Applicant(s)

CHANG, IFAY F.

Art Unit

2142

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

This application is abandoned in view of:

1. ☒ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 11 May 2004.
 - (a) ☐ A reply was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of _____ month(s)) which expired on _____.
 - (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on _____, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).
 - (c) ☐ A reply was received on _____ but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).
 - (d) ☒ No reply has been received.
2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).
 - (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$_____ is insufficient. A balance of \$_____ is due.
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$_____. The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$_____.
 - (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).
 - (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _____ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated _____), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.
 - (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.
5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on _____ and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.
7. ☐ The reason(s) below:



Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20050310

U.S. Postal Service CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)	
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com	
OFFICIAL USE	
Postage	\$ 1.75
Certified Fee	2.30
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 4.05
UNIT ID: 0149 Postmark Hero Clerk: KZ76Z1 12/02/04	

U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)		
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com		
OFFICIAL USE		
USPTO Postage	\$ 1.98	UNIT ID: 0149
Certified Fee	2.30	Postmark Here
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		Clerk: KZ76Z1
Total Postage & Fees	\$ 4.28	06/25/04
Sent To Mr. Thompson Vn		
Street, Apt. No. USPTO		
or PO Box No. PO BOX 1450		
City, State, ZIP+4 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		
PS Form 3800, June 2002		

U.S. Postal Service™ CERTIFIED MAIL™ RECEIPT (Domestic Mail Only: No Insurance Coverage Provided)			
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com			
OFFICIAL USE			
Alexandria, VA 22313		UNIT ID: 0149	
Postage \$	1.98	Postmark Here	
Certified Fee	2.30	Clerk: KZ7621	
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		07/01/04	
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)			
Total Postage & Fees \$	4.28		
Sent To: Mr. Phong H. Vu Examiner			
Street, Apt. No.: US Patent & Trademark Office			
or PO Box No. PO BOX 1617			
City, State, ZIP+4 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1417			
PS Form 3800, June 2002		See Reverse for Instructions	

To Mr. Thong H. Vu
Examiner for application No. 09/634,139

Per our phone conversation on Nov. 30, you stated that my previous letter and submission was not received by you or got lost in the office mail. I hereby I am resending the letter & submission as attached.

Sincerely yours
Ifay Cheng
Dec. 2, 2004

45PT 0095 0000 DTTE E007

U.S. Postal ServiceTM
CERTIFIED MAILTM RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

USPTO	Postage	\$ 1.98	UNIT ID: 0149
	Certified Fee	2.30	Postmark Here
	Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		Clerk: KZ76Z1
	Total Postage & Fees	\$ 4.28	06/25/04

Sent To: Mr. Thong H. Vu

Street Apt. No.: USPTO

or PO Box No.: PO Box 1450

City, State, ZIP+4: Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PS Form 3800, June 2002 See Reverse for Instructions

571-272-3904

45PT 0095 0000 DTTE E007

U.S. Postal ServiceTM
CERTIFIED MAILTM RECEIPT
(Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance Coverage Provided)
For delivery information visit our website at www.usps.com

OFFICIAL USE

	Postage	\$ 1.98	UNIT ID: 0149
	Certified Fee	2.30	Postmark Here
	Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)		
	Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)		Clerk: KZ76Z1
	Total Postage & Fees	\$ 4.28	07/01/04

Sent To: Mr. Thong H. Vu Examiner

Street Apt. No.: 02 Patent & Trademark Office

or PO Box No.: PO Box 1450

City, State, ZIP+4: Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

PS Form 3800, June 2002 See Reverse for Instructions

C.3

Ifay F. Chang
3 Louis Drive
Katonah, N. Y. 10536

Mr. Thong H. Vu
Examiner
ART Unit 2142
United States Department of Commerce
US Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

July 1, 2004

Application No. 09/634,139

Dear Mr. Vu:

Per your phone call and our discussion on June 30, 2004, I am submitting the subject application with revised claims for the original specifications and not for the revised specifications submitted in my earlier letter on June 24th, 2004.

Please find in the attachment including

1. the specification (same file as the original where only one sentence on objective is inserted in the background section and a few minor adjective phrases added to three figure captions, Fig. 1, 3 and 10 to better distinguish the figures) and
2. the new claims of 31-40 replacing the original claims of 1-20.
3. The revised abstract to better represent the application.

Please note that there is no new material added to the specification and the above minor clarifications can be removed at the examiner's discretion (using the previous specification) without having any impact to the specifications nor the claims made.

The inventor appreciates the many helpful comments and the guidance regarding this application from the examiner.

Please refer to my previous submission remarks which do clarify the previous objections raised by the examiner pertaining to Schuster et al and Ram. The previous remarks provide explanations and reasons for arriving at the revised claims. In the present invention, the terms, server, gateway and icon have their unique specifications which do define the invention and its claims uniquely over the prior art usage of these terms.

C 4

1

Please do not hesitate to call me if there is any question regarding this revised submission.

I am looking forward to working with you to completing this application.

Sincerely yours,



Ifay F. Chang
Tel. 914-248-6770
Fax. 914-248-6429

Attachments:

The content in this submission includes:

1. Cover letter with remarks (2 pages)
2. Specification (pages 1-20)
3. Revised claims (claims 21-40 on pages 21 - 30)
4. Revised Abstract (page 31 including old abstract)
5. No new drawings (except minor phrases in the figure captions in the specification, pages 4-5)
6. Deleted claims (pages 32-37)
7. Old abstract (page 38)

C 5

2

Ifay F. Chang
3 Louis Drive
Katonah, N. Y. 10536

Mr. Thong H. Vu
Examiner
ART Unit 2142
United States Department of Commerce
US Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

June 24th, 2004

Application No. 09/634,139

Dear Mr. Vu:

Your letter of office action dated May 11, 2004 has been received. Thank you for your comments regarding the claims. I have taken over the case from Townsend and Townsend and Crew. I have reviewed your comments and references cited. It has been recognized that the previous 20 claims submitted were not appropriately worded, hence they are abandoned and replaced with claims 21-40. Your comments including the citation of two references Schuster et al and Ram are addressed below and reflected in the revision.

Please find in the attachment, the revisions made in response to the office action summary. The resubmission is attached with this cover letter. The revisions are itemized as follows:

1. Content of Specification

There are issues related to the use of conventional terminology to describe the present invention in the specification, namely, the terms, server, gateway and icon. These terms are used in conventional network and Internet domains which do not properly define the present invention. Hence, we have added specific definitions and modifications where these terms were used to make clear that the present invention is properly specified. The changes are indicated by additions (underlined) and deletions (bracketed) in the revised submission. With the revision, the invention is clearly specified in terms of the architecture and network configuration under which the inventions operate. However, no new material is added in the revision.

2. Inventor's response and remarks to examiner's objection and claims rejection

- i) Items 1 and 2 regarding claims of 1-20 and citation of Schuster et al.

C6

1

C7

2